

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,935	01/30/2001	Gerardo R. Vasta	4115-137 CIP	9125
23448	7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW			MYERS, CARLA J	
PO BOX 14	329 I TRIANGLE PARK, NC	27709	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
REDE/ INC.		2,		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A C - C - N	(Applicants)	<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/771,935	VASTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Carla Myers	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in	d
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or l	o)]	,
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	re later than SIX MONTHS from t AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH he date on which the petition und d of extension and the correspon of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months afte	the mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEF Ier 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extending amount of the fee. The appropriate extending amount of the fee. The appropriate extending amount of the fee.	ension ension on; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 💢 they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) ⋈ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ı in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted	l in a separate, timely filed amendm	ient
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place t	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :		
Claim(s) allowed:			. *
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-13,15-18 and 20-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oproved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
10. Other:			
CARLA J. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration. The amendment to recite "wherein the oligonucleotide hybridizes to the NTS under stringent annealing conditions for species-specific detection" raises new issues under 35 USC 112 first paragraph (new matter) and second paragraph. While the specification discusses species specific detection, the specification does not refer to or define stringent annealing conditions for species-specific detection. It is unclear as to what constitutes these conditions and it is unclear as to whether the oligonucleotide is species specific or if the claim only seeks to define a portion of the oligonucleotide which is capable of hybridizing to some degree under the undefined "stringent annealing conditions" to the NTS of a species. In claim 3, it is unclear as to what is intended to be meant by the recitation of determining the identity of Perkinsus atlantis. While it is clear as to what is meant by determining the identity of a microorganism, it is unclear as to how one further determines the identity of an organism that is Pertkinsus atlantis. Also, in claim 3, the phrase "the non-transcribed spacer nucleotide sequence" lacks proper antecedent basis. Further, in claim 3 "identity Perkinsus atlanticus" should read "identity of Perkinsus atlanticus" and in claim 2, the recitation "(see page 17, last sentence of paragraph)" should be deleted from the claim.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the after final amendment. Further, the 132 declaration is not sufficient to overcome the previous 102 and 103 rejections. It is unclear as to how statements 3 and 4 in the declaration relate to the individual rejections. Robeldo "2" and "3" were applied as a 102(a) rejection over several claims, including claim 4. However, the declaration only addresses claims 1-3, 9-13, 15-18 and 20 with respect to these 2 references.